HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
December 1996

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

December 1996

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- Summary Program Information for Elokomin Hatchery Coho (N Type)
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Elokomin Hatchery Coho (N Type)
- Remedial Actions Required at Elokomin Hatchery Coho (N Type)
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Elokomin Hatchery
 Coho (N Type)
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Elokomin Hatchery Coho (N Type)

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Elokomin Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program. Elokomin Hatchery is located on the Elokomin River, 7 miles upstream from the river mouth. The Elokomin River is a north bank tributary of the lower Columbia River below Bonneville Dam. It enters the Columbia at river mile 38, just downstream of Cathlamet, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of lower river fall chinook and coho (Type-S and Type-N).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) Results

The Elokomin facility includes 20 raceways, 3 large ponds, and incubation facilities. Elokomin Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1954 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program -- a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by human impact such as hydroelectric system development.

The Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring requirements, disease-free water criteria, needed to redesign the adult trap and intake and needed to construct a settling basin and incubation filtration system, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery also exceeded its flow and loading criteria for incubation in the free-style units and exceeded the loading criteria in rearing ponds 22 and 23. The hatchery was not in compliance with all of the alarm, feed monitoring, smoltification, or transportation requirements. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program in place.

The specific areas in which the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Construct settling basin
- Develop a genetics M&E program
- Develop alarm logs
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Follow IHOT disinfection protocols for exteriors and interiors of transport vehicles
- Develop IHOT loading and flow criteria for free-style incubators
- Follow IHOT loading criteria for ponds 22 and 23
- Follow IHOT protocols for daily checking of flow alarms and weekly checking of other alarms

- Follow IHOT recommendations on release size
- Follow IHOT water temperature criteria for transportation
- Install filter to remove fine sediment in incubation and early rearing water (4 cfs)
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Line pond 23 with asphalt
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing (4 cfs)
- Rebuild adult trap
- Redesign intake
- Review IHOT criteria for incubation temperature
- Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Elokomin Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Tule Fall Chinook

Coho (S Type)

Coho (N Type)

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: NMFS (Mitchell Act)

Location: Elokomin Hatchery is located on the Elokomin River, 7 miles upstream

from the river mouth. The Elokomin River is a north bank tributary of

the lower Columbia River below Bonneville Dam. It enters the

Columbia at river mile 38, just downstream of Cathlamet, Washington.

Address: 1318 Elokomin Valley Road

Cathlamet, WA 98612

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Dick Aksamit
Phone: (360) 795-3608

Fax: (360) 795-6029

Purpose: Elokomin Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began

operating in 1954 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development

Program -- a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by human

impact such as hydroelectric system development.

Production Goal: Tule Fall Chinook

Produce 4 million subyearlings for on-station release.

Provide 325,000 egg/fish to co-op programs

Coho (S Type)

Produce 500,000 yearlings for on-station release

Coho (N Type)

Produce 1,200,000 yearlings for on-station release

Provide egg/fish (surplus to on-station needs) to other facilities

Water rights total 20,583 gpm from four sources: the Elokomin River,

one well, a small, unnamed steam, and Clear Creek.

Facilities:

Water Supply:

Adult Holding: 1 earth pond - 58,000 cf

Incubation: 72 - 16 tray vertical incubators

12 deep troughs

6 shallow troughs

Early Rearing:

Raceways: 20 concrete raceways - 4,800 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 1 earth pond - 64,000 cf

2 asphalt ponds - 60,100 and 75,200 cf

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure
	Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)

Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 3

Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Elokomin Hatchery was conducted on November 20, 1996.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

 Table 1 Summary Program Information for Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing									
	Elokomin									
	Hatchery			T						
Adult Collection	4									
Adult Holding	4									
Spawning	4									
Fertilization	4									
Incubation										
green-to-eyed	4									
eyed-to-hatch	4									
Rearing										
fry	4									
fingerlings	4									
smolts	4									
Acclimation/release	4									

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	# Description of Performance Measure Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for		
					ı	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan, Elokomin River	
						Subbasin	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Operations	
	operational plan?		·			Manual for Elokomin Hatchery	
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			CWT program	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and			4		Review of records; Bys 1989-1991	
	hatchery						
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	established goal					of last 4 years	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	Improve adult returns; rebuild adult trap
						of last 6 years	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with				4	Review of records; in compliance 3 out	Install filter to remove fine sediment in
	established goal					of last 5 years	incubation water (4 cfs)
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 1 out of last 4 years	See above
	Som						
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out	
	goal					of last 5 years	
#4g	Production as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with			4		No information provided	Improve adult returns
	established goal						
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature						
						D : (1/D: :	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Ambient is too cool - but no problems	Review IHOT criteria for incubation
	incubation?					observed	temperature
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	rearing?						
#5b	Dissolved gases						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?		:	4		No data	Monitor DO and record
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		No data	Monitor TGP and record
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		No data	See above
	Chlorine			4		No data	See above
	pН			4		No data	See above
	Copper			4		No data	See above
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		No data	See above
	Iron			4		No data	See above
	Zinc			4		No data	See above

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

			N/A	Yes	?	No		
	#5d	Turbidity						
		Does your turbidity meet the criteria?				4	Discussion/No data; problems with	Run analyses. Provide settling basin and
L							excessive sediment in water supply	redesign intake

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance	
		N/A	Yes	?	No			
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness							
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis	
#5f	Nitrite							
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis	
#5g	Contaminants							
	Aldrin					No data	Run analysis	
	Alum			4		110 data	Run anarysis	
	Endrin			4		No data	See above	
	Dieldrin			4		No data	See above	
	Heptachlor			4		No data	See above	
	Chlordane			4		No data	See above	
	Methoxychlor			4		No data	See above	
	Lindane			4		No data	See above	
	Malathion			4		No data	See above	
	Guthion			4		No data	See above	
#5h	Pathogens							
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?							
	Adult holding				4	Surface water supply	None	
	Incubation				4	See above	Provide disease-free water for incubation	
							and early rearing (4 cfs)	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Early rearing				4	See above	See above
Rearing				4	See above	None
Others				4	See above	None

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Do the following areas have diarins:						
	Intake		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				None	
	Water treatment systems	4				None	
	Security				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite		4			Discussion	
	residences?						
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for daily
							checking of flow alarms and weekly
							checking of other alarms
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?				4	Discussion/Inspection	See above
	·					_	
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
	maintenance requirements?						
	maintenance requirements:						
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
	The telephone pagets ased.				-	Discussion	mount telephone pagers

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	IVA	Tes	•	140		
	Type 1: Vertical Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Free style Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Standard Raceway Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion. Facilities are aging	
	Type 2: Pond 23 Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?				4	This pond can not be used in the summer because of botulism problems	Line pond or improve pond cleaning practices
	Type 3: Asphalt pond 22 Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion. Facilities are aging.	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#10	Screening facilities					
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?	1	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				Inspection of facilities/Discussion. All
	should not be released to adjacent water?					reared fish are released on-site
#11	Predator control facilities					
	Are your predation control facilities effective?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control	IVA	165	•	140		
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed
	meet specifications?						preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or				4	Discussion	See above
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food				4	Discussion	See above
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of		4			Discussion
delivery.					
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		4			Discussion
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	4				No automatic feeders on station

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	subjected to adverse conditions?						
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Could use in-line settling	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	grgr						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities		'				
	-						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#16	Broodstock selection practices	IV/A	ies	•	110		
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	Spawning practices						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		4			Review of IHOT Operations Plan/Discussion	
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and Hatchery Operations Manual	
	Are incubation practices written?		4				
	Incubation Type 1: Vertical (see PM #8) Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: Free Style (see PM #8)			4		Review of records/Discussion	Develop IHOT loading and flow criteria
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						for incubation

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
		,					
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and	
	operations plan?					Hatchery Operations Manual	
	Are rearing practices written?		4			Review Hatchery Operations Plan	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: Raceway(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Pond 23 (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	T. H. WOM, H. J.
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT loading criteria
	Desging Heit Town 2: Day 1 22 (see DM 40)						
	Rearing Unit Type 3: Pond 22 (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		+		4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT loading criteria
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices						
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4			Review of records/Discussion.	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4			Review of records/Discussion.	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water and are the sanitation				4	Review of records/Discussion.	See PM #28
	procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		No data	See PM \$5b
	Chemistry			4		No data	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		No data	See PM \$5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		No data	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		No data	See PM \$5f
	Contaminants			4		No data	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1	1	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goals and monitor
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion. Review	
	release?					of release reports	
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT recommendations on release size

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	N/A	ies	•	NO		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?		4			Discussion	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?		4			Discussion	
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT disinfection protocols for exteriors and interiors of transport vehicles
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		4			Discussion	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling		4			Discussion	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
Tion oggo of Cultural Water						
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive		1			Discussion	
_		7			Discussion	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting		4			Discussion	
up and leaving for the day?						
	600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes 4 Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting 4	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes 4 Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting 4	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes 4 Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting 4	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes 4 Discussion Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water? Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season? Is a daily service inspection completed before starting Discussion Discussion Discussion

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	14/11	103	•	110		
(cont)							
	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior		4			Discussion	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water		4			Discussion	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system						
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45		4			Discussion	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning		4			Discussion	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit				4	Use ambient water	Follow IHOT water temperature criteria
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						for transportation

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		4			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		4			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices						
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IVA	Tes	<u> </u>	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly	 	4			Review of state records by audit team	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			pathologist See above	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			See above	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			See above	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			See above	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			See above	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			See above	
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			See above	
reportable viral pathogens.						
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			See above	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			See above	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation						
	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,				4	Discussion	Provide 4 cfs of treated water for
	especially for incubation and early rearing?						incubation
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with					
any other fish lots or at any other location?					
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					 	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Ambient is too cool for incubation	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		No data	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		No data	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		No data	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		No data	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		No data	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		No data	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM # 19
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan for Elokomin River	
						Subbasin	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review IHOT Operations Plan and	
	operational plan?					Hatchery Operations Manual	
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4			M&E program described in CWT	
						program	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, and spawning and egg-take protocols?		Tes		110		
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #4a)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #4a)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	N/A Yes ? No		l	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas:	IVA	165	•	140		
	percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of						
	release?						
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Size at release (PM #22a5)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a5
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin? See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program? See PM #22c		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	*7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statı	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Reviewed Spawning Guidelines for Washington Department of Fisheries Hatcheries and IHOT Operations Plans	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		4			Discussion	
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		4			Discussion	
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female						
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
#42a	Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of IHOT Operations Plan	
#42b	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
#42c	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		4			Discussion	
#42d	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and		4			Discussion	
	randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?						
#42e	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		4			Discussion	
#42f	Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4			Discussion	
#42g	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		27/4			I	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program in place?	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4		Develop a genetics M&E program
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	Discussion	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	Discussion	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance
ļ	Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions

suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

IHOT Audit

12/30/96

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		4c, 4g, 4h
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or	ir 	
procedures		
Review IHOT criteria for incubation temperature		5a
Follow IHOT protocols for daily checking of flow alarms and weekly		6
checking of other alarms		
Develop alarm logs		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Develop IHOT loading and flow criteria for free-style incubators		18
Follow IHOT loading criteria for ponds 22 and 23		19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Follow IHOT recommendations on release size		22a5
Follow IHOT disinfection protocols for exteriors and interiors of		23
transport vehicles		
Follow IHOT water temperature criteria for transportation		23
Develop a genetics M&E program		43

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP		5b
Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity,		5c-5g
hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Rebuild adult trap	\$250,000 -	4c
	\$400,000	
Install filter to remove fine sediment in incubation and early rearing	\$130,000	4d, 4e
water (4 cfs)		
Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing (4 cfs)	\$1,000,00	5h, 28
	0	
Construct settling basin (6,000 gpm)	\$200,000	5d
Install security alarms	\$5,000	6
Install telephone pagers	\$2,000	6
Line pond 23 with asphalt	\$25,000	9
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Redesign intake		5d

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1983					
1984					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	2,250	1	225	2,476	8.05%
1989	114	No information	36	150	0.3%
		provided			
1990	80	No information	26	106	0.35%
		provided			
1991	No information	No information	4	4	0.01%
	provided	provided			
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Elokomin Hatchery	\$146,874	\$111,270	\$150,949
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$146,874	\$111,270	\$150,949

The total expenditures for the Elokomin Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Elokomin Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Tule Fall Chinook	\$106,476	\$84,683	\$81,386
2. Coho (N Type)	\$146,874	\$111,270	\$150,949
3. Coho (S Type)	\$61,380	\$50,219	\$47,291
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$313,164	\$246,173	\$274,953

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Elokomin Hatchery - Coho (N Type)

Expenditure Occurring at Elokomin Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$121,036	\$100,922	\$114,673
Operational Costs	\$121,100	\$102,000	\$110,311
Capital Costs		\$55,000	
Indirect Costs	\$71,028	\$43,251	\$49,969
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$313,164	\$246,173	\$274,953
Source of Funds			
Mitchell Act	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	81,418	79,083	91,681
Total Production (lb)	173,252	174,839	166,864
Program as Percent of Total	46.9%	45.2%	54.9%
Program Costs	\$146,874	\$111,270	\$150,949

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Elokomin Hatchery by Program

Tule Fall Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$121,036	\$100,922	\$114,673
Operational Costs	\$121,100	\$102,000	\$110,311
Capital Costs		\$55,000	
Indirect Costs	\$71,028	\$43,251	\$49,969
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs Total Hatchery Costs	\$313,164	\$246,173	\$274,953
Source of Funds	ψ313,104	Ψ240,173	Ψ214,333
Mitchell Act	100%	100%	100%
WIIGHEII ACC	10078	100 /6	100 /0
Program Production (lb)	58,873	60,173	49,453
Total Production (lb)	173,252	174,839	166,864
Program as Percent of Total	34.0%	34.4%	29.6%
Program Costs	\$106,476	\$84,683	\$81,386

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Elokomin Hatchery by Program

Coho (N Type)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$121,036	\$100,922	\$114,673
Operational Costs	\$121,100	\$102,000	\$110,311
Capital Costs		\$55,000	
Indirect Costs	\$71,028	\$43,251	\$49,969
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$313,164	\$246,173	\$274,953
Source of Funds			
Mitchell Act	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	81,418	79,083	91,681
Total Production (lb)	173,252	174,839	166,864
Program as Percent of Total	46.9%	45.2%	54.9%
Program Costs	\$146,874	\$111,270	\$150,949

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Elokomin Hatchery by Program

Coho (S Type)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$121,036	\$100,922	\$114,673
Operational Costs	\$121,100	\$102,000	\$110,311
Capital Costs		\$55,000	
Indirect Costs	\$71,028	\$43,251	\$49,969
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$313,164	\$246,173	\$274,953
Source of Funds	\$313,104	Ψ2 4 0,173	Ψ21 - 1,955
Mitchell Act	100%	100%	100%
WILCHEII ACL	100 /6	100 /6	100 /6
Program Production (lb)	32,961	35,633	25,730
Total Production (lb)	173,252	174,839	166,864
Program as Percent of Total	19.6%	20.4%	17.2%
Program Costs	\$61,380	\$50,219	\$47,291

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.